

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 9540		
09/876,290	06/07/2001	Yoshiyuki Yanagisawa	09792909-5046			
75	90 11/06/2002					
Lewis T Stead	man Sr. Esq.	EXAMINER				
Holland & Knight LLP 55 West Monroe Street			GRAYBILL, DAVID E			
Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60	1603	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Cincago, IL oc	,003		2827			
,			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/876,290 YANAGISAWA		YANAGISAWA E	ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		David E Graybill		2827					
۔۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	ugust 2002 .							
2a)⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-f	inal.						
, (	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition									
,—	laim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.								
<b>4</b> a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∏ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)□ C	laim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∏ C Application	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or name Papers	election require	ement.						
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Exar	miner.					
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11) 🔲 Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊟ approv	ed b)⊟ disappro	ved by the Exami	ner.				
- 1	f approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office a	ction.						
12) 🗌 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
•	All b) Some * c) None of:								
	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.						
2.	<ul> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>			on No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		l Stage	•			
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list o		•						
14) <u></u> Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(€	e) (to a provisiona	al appli	ication).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language proknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachment(s	)								
2) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) _ 5) _ 6) _		(PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/876,290

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention drawn to a process; therefore, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

In view of the amendment filed 8-5-2, the allowability of claims 8-10 is herein withdrawn.

In the rejections infra, reference labels are generally recited only for the first recitation of identical claim language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/876,290

Art Unit: 2827

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Levy (5869353) and Sahara (4764804).

At column 4, line 8 to column 8, line 21, Levy teaches the following:

8. A multilayer semiconductor device manufacturing method using an assembly jig for mutually restricting positions of a plurality of semiconductor modules each including a semiconductor chip mounted on a thin printed-wiring board comprising the steps of: serially layering the semiconductor modules 32, 34 on a base member 68 with respective lateral positions restricted by a lateral position restriction mechanism 70 and placing said assembly jig with an entire height of said layered modules restricted by said height restriction mechanism, supplying said assembly jig into a reflow furnace, applying reflow heating to melt solder and for thereby forming interlayer

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/876,290

Art Unit: 2827

connection among said semiconductor modules, and thus forming a layered semiconductor module unit; and mounting said layered semiconductor module unit on a mother substrate 74 by using a top-layer semiconductor module as a junction module.

9. The multilayer semiconductor device manufacturing method according to 8, further comprising a step of providing said assembly jig with an alignment mechanism 70 for aligning said layered semiconductor module unit against said mother substrate.

However, Levy does not appear to explicitly teach solder bumps and the following:

10. The multilayer semiconductor device manufacturing method according to 8 further comprising the step of: forming a bump on each of connection lands and dummy lands of printed wiring board for each semiconductor module.

Nonetheless, at column 1, lines 37-53, column 3, lines 32-36, and column 4, lines 61-68, Sahara teaches forming a bump 8, 9, on each of connection lands 10 and dummy lands 12, respectively. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the process of Sahara with the process of Levy because it would enable interlayer connection and heat dissipation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in  $37\ \text{CFR}\ 1.136(a)$ .

Application/Control Number: 09/876,290 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The art made of record and not applied to the rejection is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show inventions similar to the instant invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/876,290 Page 6

Art Unit: 2827

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/308-7722.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

D.G. 29-Oct-02